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A multilateral development bank (MDB) is an International Financial Institution (IFI), created by a group of countries, that provides financing and professional advice for the purpose of development. MDBs have large memberships including both developed donor countries and developing borrower countries.

MDBs finance projects in the form of long-term loans at market rates, very-long-term loans below market rates, and through concessional grants.
Main MDBs: different mandates, ownership, business models

- World Bank/IFC
- European Investment Bank (EIB)
- Islamic Development Bank (IsDB)
- Asian Development Bank (ADB)
- European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD)
- CAF - Development Bank of Latin America (CAF)
- Inter-American Development Bank Group (IDB, IADB)
- African Development Bank (AfDB)
- New Development Bank (NDB)
- Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank (AIIB)
IFC: A MEMBER OF THE WORLD BANK GROUP

IBRD
International Bank for Reconstruction and Development
Loans to middle-income and credit-worthy low-income country governments

IDA
International Development Association
Interest-free loans and grants to governments of poorest countries

IFC
International Finance Corporation
Solutions in private sector development

MIGA
Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency
Guarantees of foreign direct investment’s non-commercial risks

ICSID
International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes
Conciliation and arbitration of investment disputes
IFC is the largest global development institution focused on the private sector in emerging markets.

- Provides investments, advice, resource mobilization
- Present in nearly 100 countries
1.2 billion people don’t have access to electricity
“Equator Principles Financial Intuitions”
94 members across 37 counties
all follow the Performance Standards
Who we are

EBRD is an international financial institution supporting the development of sustainable well-functioning market economies

Highest credit rating (AAA/Aaa)

Owned by 69 countries and 2 inter-governmental institutions (the EU and EIB)

Capital base of €30 billion

1991 Established

1992 Russia and 11 other members of the former Soviet Union join

2007/08 Czech Republic first country to “graduate”; Turkey becomes Country of Operations

2012 Starts investing in Egypt, Jordan, Morocco and Tunisia

2016 25th anniversary; China becomes 67th member

2017 Starts investing in Lebanon and the West Bank and Gaza

Shareholding structure

- EU 28 Countries 63%
- Japan 9%
- USA 10%
- Others 11%
- EBRD region excluding EU 7%

1. Includes European Community and European Investment Bank (EIB) each at 3%. Among other EU countries: France, Germany, Italy, and the UK each holds 8.6%
Where we invest
Increasing footprint

- SEMED
- Western Balkans
- Central Eastern Europe
- West Bank and Gaza
- Cyprus, Greece
- Turkey
- Central Asia (incl. Mongolia)
- Lebanon

Countries:
- Armenia
- Azerbaijan
- Belarus
- Georgia
- Moldova
- Ukraine
- Uzbekistan
- Kyrgyz Republic
- Tajikistan
- Turkmenistan
- Mongolia
- Jordan
- Lebanon
- Egypt
- Morocco
- Croatia
- Bosnia and Herzegovina
- Montenegro
- Albania
- FYR Macedonia
- Greece
- Cyprus
- Serbia
- Kosovo
- Bulgaria
- Romania
- Moldova
- Ukraine
- Poland
- Belarus
- Estonia
- Latvia
- Lithuania
- Slovenia
- Croatia
- Turkey

Regions:
- Central Eastern Europe
- Western Balkans
- Turkey
- SEMED
- Central Asia (incl. Mongolia)
- West Bank and Gaza

Footnotes:
PUBLIC
EBRD
Largest investor in the region

Since 1991, EBRD invested over €119 billion in around 5,035 projects

In 2017

EBRD Top 10 investee countries in 2017 (€m)

1. Turkey 1,540
2. Egypt 1,413
3. Ukraine 740
4. Poland 659
5. Greece 614
6. Kazakhstan 586
7. Romania 546
8. Azerbaijan 456
9. Serbia 382
10. Tunisia 324

Note: unaudited as at 31 December 2017

PRIVATE
EBRD E&S Policy Structure

ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL POLICY (ESP)

Purpose, principles & commitments

Integrating E&S considerations into projects

Public reporting and accountability

PR 1 Assessment & Management of Environmental and Social Impacts & Issues

PR 2 Labour and Working Conditions

PR 3 Resource Efficiency and Pollution Prevention and Control

PR 4 Health, Safety & Security

PR 5 Land Acquisition, Involuntary Resettlement and Economic Displacement

PR 6 Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Management of Living Natural Resources

PR 7 Indigenous Peoples

PR 8 Cultural Heritage

PR 9 Financial Intermediaries

PR 10 Information Disclosure and Stakeholder Engagement

2 - PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS (PRs)
How we Compare

• Overall very similar and our E&S polices are complimentary

• EBRD is a regional Bank focused on Transition (mainly Central and Eastern Europe) and now Green Transition. Strong EU mandate and implementing EU substantive standards

• IFC is World Wide and more focused on Emerging Markets and being a first mover in Fragile and Conflict States

• We are frequently “co-lenders” on the same project
EBRD / IFC are often approached by companies when the project is largely designed and the ESIA is completed or nearing completion. We also finance existing portfolios.

Additional challenge with energy projects given the tight timeframes to reach COD ("Commercial Operations Date") often imposed by governmental bodies (usually the public utility) and contained within the PPP (which determines the tariff)

Wind energy projects are often sited based on technical aspects only (not environmental)

There are very often gaps with respect to our requirements / standards as we approach at a later stage

But, EBRD / IFC have little time to make adjustments in the environmental baselines and impact assessments
Biodiversity challenges in the wind energy sector

- Consultants not using data to inform the siting of projects as often the site is ‘pre-selected’
- **Wide variation of pre-construction survey methods** utilized globally (vantage point surveys, collision risk modeling) that are often not compatible (making the assessment of cumulative impacts difficult or impossible…)
- **National authorities often not requiring biodiversity baseline data for wind energy** – rather just “secondary data” or “desk studies”
- **Inappropriate methods** (mist netting) also sometimes being imposed
- Operating in data-poor regions
- Although there are many excellent biologists, **few of them are trained in wind-wildlife science in emerging markets**
- **The most effective minimization measures are not always implemented** (burying collector lines, using flashing lights, set backs from waterbodies), others are often repeated although they are not scientifically substantiated (e.g., blade painting).
• **Shutdown on-demand and curtailment** (at low wind speeds for bats) needs to be factored into **financial modeling** and sensitivities at an early stage.

• They should be adaptive and guided by a well-developed **post-construction monitoring program**.

• The focus should be on **priority species / at-risk species** **BUT there is still a limited understanding of the actual collision susceptibility of birds and bats**, especially in emerging markets.

• There is only way to understand the actual impacts of wind energy projects – and that is through a **post-construction fatality monitoring program**.

• **Unfortunately, there is still a very wide application of fatality monitoring and much of the time this essential component is not being adequately implemented**.

• Without more consistency, the ability to collate data will be limited.
Discussion and Next Steps

• More upstream strategic siting that accounts for technical, environmental and social factors
• More clarity on how much baseline data and what kinds of data are “adequate” for decision making? This will be essential to conducting cumulative assessments
• International guidance needed on post-construction fatality monitoring is needed that represents recent practices (adequate bias corrections and Generalized Estimator software)
• Cumulative and Strategic Assessments need to be considered
• IFC / EBRD interested in developing and looking for partners
• Important that whatever guidelines are developed, they are based on experience on operational wind farms (i.e., not predictive theories)
• Monitoring may need to be longer to account for climatic changes